Application No. Applicant(s) 10/625.625 FUJITA ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Glenn A. Auve 2111 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Glenn A. Auve. (3) Obert Chu, Reg'n # 52744. (2) Edward Chin. (4) . Date of Interview: 28 August 2007. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)⊠ No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1,12 and 13. Identification of prior art discussed: SBP-2 specification. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. q was not reached. h N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: With respect to the previous rejection under 35 USC 101 of claims 12 and 13, the examiner agrees that the amendments to claims filed 10 August 2007 overcome this rejection. With regard to whether or not figs. 16A,16B,17A, and 17B are prior art, the examiner presented applicant's representative with a copy of the pages of the SBP-2 specification as evidence that Figs. 16A,16B, and 17A are prior art. The examiner was not able to quickly locate the reason for indicating that Fig. 17B is prior art. Applicant may file amendments to those drawings. With regard to the prior art rejections, applicant's representative argued that the the SBP-2 specification is only directed to peer-to-peer devices with one device being able to login to the instrument at a time, while applicant's invention includes a second port for a second device. The examiner did not make any commitment with regard to whether or not he agrees that the claims distinguish over the prior art, but the arguments will all be considered.

08/22/2007 16:10 FAX 703 836 2787

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC

Ø 001

Oliff & Berridge, plc

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

August 22, 2007

.277 SOUTH WASHINGTON STREET ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314

TELEPHONE: (703) 836-6400 FACSIMILE: (703) 836-2787 E-MAIL: EMAILBOLIFF.COM

WWW.OLIFF.COM

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION COVER SHEET

To: Examiner Auve
(571) 273-3623
From: Edward Chin
Application No. 10/625,625
Number of Pages Sent (Including cover sheet):
Prepared By: lah
Interview Agenda:
The SBP-2 specification fails to disclose or suggest that the port controller sets the second port to a disabled state and, after the second port is set to the disabled state, the bus reset issue controller issues the bus reset to cause the first electronic instrument connected with the first port to acquire an access right, as recited in independent claim 1. Specifically, SBP-2 is developed for a peer-to-peer data transfer is not specified on the assumption that a plurality of ports P1 and P2 exist.
(1) Drawing Objections
(2) §101 Rejections
(3) §102 Rejections
Sent By: LAN
This facsimile is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above and may contain privileged or confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or copying of this facsimile is prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error please.

immediately notify us by facsimile or telephone, and return the facsimile to us by mail at the above address.